Case 3:03-cr-01184-DGC Document 45 Filed 06/16/06

FILED 3 RECEIVED

LODGED COPY

WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

JUN 1 6 2006

CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

LINITED STATES OF AMEDICA

	V.			ORDER O	F DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
		yvin T	amore Hoskie	Case Number:	CR 03-01184-001-PCT-EHC	
	ordance v ablished		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	42(f), a detention hearing has	s been held. I conclude that the following facts	
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
			PAR	I I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
			a crime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	•	
			an offense for which the maxi	mum sentence is life imprisor	nment or death.	
			an offense for which a maxim	um term of imprisonment of t	en years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed a described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	fter the defendant had been o	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses state or local offenses.	
	(2)		e offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, te or local offense.			
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
				Alternative Findings		
	(1)	There i	s probable cause to believe tha	at the defendant has committe	ed an offense	
			for which a maximum term of	imprisonment of ten years or	more is prescribed in²	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)			
	(2)				finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.	
h /			Y	Alternative Findings		
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
	(2)	No con	dition or combination of conditi	ons will reasonably assure the	e safety of others and the community.	
	(3)		s a serious risk that the defenda ective witness or jur <mark>or</mark>).	ant will (obstruct or attempt to	obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate	
X	(4)	Defendant abnitted the detention issue. It oppears				
		de	fordat her ditors of ex	railed to conservised release		

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

²Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Case 3:03-cr-01184-DGC Document 45 Filed 06/16/06 Page 2 of 3

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
	(2)	I find that a prepared grance of the pridence as to risk of flight that				
	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
	The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				
7	In add	lition:				
_						
		·				
	The C	court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the if the hearing in this matter.				

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 3:03-cr-01184-DGC Document 45 Filed 06/16/06 Page 3 of 3

PART III - DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>June 16, 2006</u>

VIRĞINIA A. MATHIS

United States Magistrate Judge